

**“All I want is what’s best for my
child.”**

Interim Report on the experiences of parents of
students from a non-English speaking background
with disability in the NSW school system

February 2005

Theresa Clark researched and wrote this interim report for the:

Multicultural Disability Advocacy Association of NSW (MDAA)

PO Box 9 381

Harris Park, NSW 2150

Australia

Ph. +61 (0)2 9891 6400

Fax: +61 (0)2 9635 5355

e-mail: mdaa@mdaa.org.au

website: www.mdaa.org.au

© Multicultural Disability Advocacy Association of NSW

First published March 2005

This interim report is copyright. Non-profit community groups have permission to reproduce any part of the report, so long as the original meaning is maintained and proper credit is given to the author and the Multicultural Disability Advocacy Association of NSW. All other persons and organisations wanting to reproduce material from this report should obtain permission from the Multicultural Disability Advocacy Association of NSW.

MDAA gratefully acknowledges the support of the NSW Department of Ageing, Disability and Home Care and the Commonwealth Department of Family and Community Services

Acknowledgements

MDAA would like to thank all those who made this research possible, especially our consumers and their families; our members; and members of the Sunlight Children Disability Support Group for Parents. This report is based on the comments, ideas and experiences of the parents of twenty-five students who participated in this project and so generously shared their stories and time.

Thank you also to the staff of the Vietnamese Women's Association and the Individual Advocates and staff of MDAA, who facilitated the meetings and discussions with consumers and members.

Contents

Contents	4
Summary	6
Key Questions arising from the issues raised	7
Overview	8
Aim of the project	10
Background	10
History of education for students with disability in NSW	10
Inclusive Education	11
Cultural competence and social cohesiveness	12
NSW Education Sector	13
Education Policy	14
Government Sector	14
Non-Government Sector	14
Catholic Schools	14
Independent Schools	15
Programs available to support students with disability	16
Government Schools	16
Non-government schools	17
Catholic Schools	17
Independent Schools	18
Programs available to support students from NESB	18
The Future Direction of Education in NSW	19

Statistics.....	21
Definition of NESB	21
Definition of Disability	22
The Figures.....	23
What we know.....	23
What we don't know	27
Points to consider	28
The Research.....	29
Design and implementation of the Project	29
The Information Collected.....	30
Who was interviewed	30
Issues raised.....	30
Conclusion	52
References and endnotes	55

Summary

This project aimed to investigate the experiences of students from a non-English speaking background (NESB) with disability in the New South Wales (NSW) school system. The project was initiated because there is a lack of knowledge about students from a NESB with disability. There is no research available nor systematic identification of issues specific to these students.

Parents of children from a NESB with disability associated with the Multicultural Disability Advocacy Association of NSW (MDAA) were asked questions about their experiences of having a child with a disability in the NSW school system. Despite concerns raised, it was apparent from our discussions that many parents are simply delighted that their child is at school. Being at school is seen by many as an achievement in itself, with many families not focusing on or expecting any substantial educational gains. Approximately forty percent of those interviewed were happy with the situation and reported that they had no significant problems.

The majority, sixty percent, of the parents interviewed raised concerns which are common to the experience of all students with disability regardless of ethnicity. Cultural competence was also raised in one form or another by a majority of participants. The apparent inability of individuals within the system and the system itself to deal with the cultural diversity of its target group adds an additional layer of concerns and raises additional barriers. These render the experiences of parents from a NESB and their children with disability unique.

The issues raised range from difficulties with communication, such as the sudden, unexplained introduction of new 'rules', to a lack of awareness about cultural and religious needs, such as arguments about culturally appropriate dress and food, to the long periods of exclusion while waiting for an appropriate placement. Parents reported little support and assistance from the education system in their endeavors to understand not only their child's disability but also the NSW education, health and disability systems and in trying to make the best decisions for their child.

For a number of parents access to information and school staff were difficult. Others are concerned about the type of education being offered and the effect it would have on future employment options. There were concerns about the schools focusing not necessarily on improving the educational capacity of students but spending time on limiting the problems and challenges the students presented to the school.

While families of students with high support needs reported that students were generally happy at school, some children with lower support needs had

experienced bullying and exclusion from the mainstream school community. Parents generally believed this resulted from a lack of knowledge and understanding of disability and cultural diversity.

The majority of parents identified difficulties with communication and understanding between parents, students and the education providers as one of the key barriers. Parents acknowledged that they did not always know about or understand the educational choices available; lacked knowledge about the education, health and disability sectors and how they worked; and were often restricted by limited English skills. At the same time the parents reported that in their dealings with the education system there is often little knowledge and understanding about the parents' and child's cultural background and language needs, and frequently a complete lack of understanding that many of these parents are alone in having to negotiate a system they have little understanding of.

Key Questions arising from the issues raised

Many of the issues identified during this project are common to the experience of all students with disability regardless of ethnicity. Our focus in this report and the list of issues below are however, specifically related to children from a NESB with disability. Our aim is to seek solutions that are relevant particularly to students from a NESB with disability.

- What has to happen to ensure early diagnosis of disability and access to early intervention programs for students from a NESB with disability and their parents?
- What can be done to ensure that all families from a NESB are aware of the educational choices available for their child with disability?
- What needs to happen so that clear and informative communication between parents from a NESB and the school system can occur?
- How can education systems ensure the development of good educational practice, including the effective implementation of multicultural perspectives across the curriculum of all classes, including special classes, SSP and support services for students with disability in regular classrooms?
- How can education be provided in a culturally competent way?
- How can waiting lists for support classes, SSP and support services for students with disability integrated into regular classrooms be reduced and

- what can be done to ensure at the least that all waiting lists are managed equitably and that selection criteria are applied in a transparent way?
- How can policies and procedures be made accessible to parents from a NESB?
 - What information and supports are provided to parents from a NESB who wish to change current arrangements (i.e. move their child from a support class to a regular classroom)?
 - How do you ensure equitable distribution of funding for all students with disability, including students from a NESB with disability?
 - How can the co-ordination and communication between different service sectors, such as health, education and disability be facilitated and made accessible to all students from a NESB with disability and their parents?
 - How can the options available to students after completing their school education be effectively communicated to parents and students from a NESB?

Overview

The Multicultural Disability Advocacy Association of NSW (MDAA) is the only advocacy organisation available specifically to people from a non-English speaking background (NESB) with disability, their families and carers in NSW. People from a NESB with disability make up about 5% of the total population of NSW, or about 25% of all people with disability (334,350¹ (ABS 2004)¹. MDAA aims to promote, secure and protect the rights and interests of people from a NESB with disability and those of their families, through individual advocacy, advocacy development, industry and community networking, research and systemic advocacy work.

MDAA undertook this project to gain information about the experiences of school age children from a NESB with disability. The project focuses on the experience of these students and their families in the NSW school system. The findings have been used to compile this interim report and form the basis of our submission to the Department of Education and Training's public review "The Futures Project – Excellence and Innovation: Consultation with the community of New South Wales on public education and training".

MDAA believes that:

- All institutions must acknowledge, reflect and respond to the multicultural and multilingual diversity of Australia;
- All people from a NESB with disability and their families are entitled to the opportunity to participate fully and be included in society and in the decisions which directly affect them;
- All people from a NESB with disability and their families are entitled to enjoy equal opportunities and have equitable access to, and an equitable share of, resources;
- All people from a NESB with disability and their families and carers are entitled to the support required to achieve their maximum potential as members of the community;
- All people from a NESB with disability and their families and carers are entitled to be valued, respected and portrayed in positive ways; and
- All relevant programs and services must be tailored according to the person's needs and goals in a way that results in the least restriction of the person's rights and opportunities. (MDAA Constitution, 2001)².

The impetus for the project grew out of MDAA's individual advocacy work. Our work identifies that people from a NESB with disability and their families often experience marginalisation, exclusion, neglect, exploitation and abuse due to systemic barriers created by cultural incompetence. This is also true for the education systems. Many families are grateful their child is at school and this is seen as the most important achievement, an end in itself. The family's focus is not necessarily on the education gained but on their child being included in the system. MDAA believes that being included is, in itself, not enough and we decided, based on our individual advocacy work and the lack of other more systemic research available, that it was time for us to ask parents about their experiences in a more structured way.

Aim of the project

In June 2001 Professor Tony Vinson as Chair of the NSW Public Education Inquiry called for submissions from all stakeholders, about the state of public education in New South Wales. MDAA considered responding but felt restricted by time and a lack of solid information about what happens to students from a NESB with disability in the education system. At the time there was only anecdotal evidence available to suggest that students from a NESB with disability and their families experienced additional barriers in the NSW education system.

MDAA decided we needed to document the broader experiences of these students and their families and in July 2004 we initiated this project. In late 2004 the NSW Department of Education and Training set up a public review “The Futures Project – Excellence and Innovation: Consultation with the community of New South Wales on public education and training”. That review serves as a timely platform for MDAA to submit our findings to the Department and place them on the agenda for discussion and consideration as the Department plans the future direction of public education.

The key issues identified and the questions they raise are the basis of this interim report. MDAA intends to distribute this report for comment to associated peak bodies and stakeholders including the NSW Catholic Education Commission and the NSW Association of Independent Schools. We will incorporate this feedback into the final report, which will be distributed to the key stakeholders, policy makers and relevant organisations.

Background

History of education for students with disability in NSW

As part of their submission to the Vinson Inquiry into Public Education in 2001, the Institute for Family Advocacy and Leadership Development outlined the history of education for students with disability in NSW. (‘So near and yet so far’, 2001)³. In summary, it was not until the 1980s that government, rather than parent groups or voluntary organisations, took any significant responsibility for the education of students with disabilities in NSW.

The establishment of Schools for Specific Purposes (SSP) provided the first opportunity for children with disability to receive government funded education. These schools separated children from their peers of the same age in their local community to be grouped together with a wide age range, from a wide

geographical area with their only connection being that they had the label of disability.

As education theory evolved, there was a realisation that special education facilities needed to resemble the regular classroom. The concept of the Support Unit or class developed. Classrooms for students with disability were placed in the grounds of regular schools. While they shared facilities such as the canteen, it was not uncommon to find that these classrooms were in a fenced off area within the larger school grounds. In their report, Family Advocacy described this as a ‘...serious form of segregation and congregation of students with disability. The devalued students are visible to the rest of the school community without any viable way of forming real relationships’ (So near and yet so far, 2001 p.6)³.

As ‘integration’ has become more popular, some students with mild intellectual disability or low support needs are judged as being able to access the main curriculum with limited support, and are invited to join regular classrooms.

Integration represents a positive move away from segregation: it is about including and helping some students fit into the regular classroom. In NSW children have the right to be enrolled in their local government school regardless of disability, so long as they can access the main curriculum. When the student is required to fit into the system rather than the system adapting to meet student needs (as any ‘for profit’ system would fit around the needs of its customers so that the business can meet the customers’ needs and make its profit) then, at best, only students with mild disability will ever be considered suitable for integration. Equally, in such a ‘best fit’ model, being culturally different from the ‘mainstream’ will create another barrier, especially if students from a NESB with disability have limited English language skills.

Inclusive Education

The call for inclusive education is based on the principle that all children, irrespective of their ability, ethnicity, gender, class, etc. should be part of the regular school system which must fit the needs of the individual.

An inclusive education system would make a significant difference to the lives of people with disability and their families. Currently, students with disability are frequently taken by bus to support classes and special schools (Schools for Specific Purposes – SSP) away from their local community. When children attend these segregated schools they are often with other children of various ages and disabilities and their only common link is their disability. They do not have the opportunity to form social networks and relationships with children their own age or who live locally. This segregation can complicate the lives of families, particularly when siblings go to the local school and the child with a

disability attends another school some distance away. It can create a nightmare for families coping with child-care, transport and other day-to-day logistics related to family life. Children who spend their school days away from family and community do not develop local connections and links and are more likely to lose what little security and safety local communities offer. This can be a significant loss as many of these children already struggle to make friends, are already more isolated and marginalised and experience far greater levels of abuse and violence.

MDAA sees an inclusive education system, one that accepts the enrolment of all students into the regular class at the local school, with appropriate support, as the fundamental step towards an inclusive society. For example, Arthur Phillip High School (located at Parramatta) describes itself as having a diverse school population with more than 80% of students coming from a NESB, representing over forty different cultures. They promote the school as 'proud of its strong multicultural tradition and the values students learn about tolerance of diversity.' (Arthur Phillip High School website)⁴.

In 'One of the kids; educating children with and without disabilities together in the same classes and schools', Wendy Stroeve discusses how the broader community gains 'tolerance of diversity' when children with disabilities are included in regular classrooms. MDAA agrees and believes inclusive education gives '...the wider community the opportunity to discover that many of the long held beliefs about people with disability are wrong. The idea that people with disability cannot learn, are disruptive in regular classrooms, time consuming for teachers and will never hold a job, are common and will not change until people experience daily, ordinary activities with people with disability in their local community, school or workplace' (Stroeve, 1998, pp.23-25)⁵. A truly inclusive education system is one that would promote the values extolled by both Stroeve and Arthur Phillip High.

Cultural competence and social cohesiveness

A number of authors have concluded that the processes involved in cultural competence - introspection, self-awareness, respectful questioning, attentive listening, curiosity, interest, and caring - are skills required in a range of human services (Harris 2004)⁶. In a recent review of international and Australian literature on cultural competence, Harris suggests that the shift to culturally competent practice should be easy, as all that is needed is greater understanding of the influence of culture and greater confidence and comfort in working with people from different cultural backgrounds. Harris continues that while competence is usually about mastering a skill, when added to the broad and ever changing definitions of culture, it becomes an evolutionary practice based on continued learning and deepening understanding. It is critical for our

understanding of the education system to be clear that cultural competence ought to occur at a number of levels involving individuals, programs, organisations and systems. Our primary concern in this research is about the cultural competence of the education system as a system, however, we also argue that cultural competence must play a greater role in teacher training.

MDAA promotes cultural competence across the human services sector. We work with ethnic communities to advance their knowledge about people with disability. We have researched and published works on a wide variety of issues, including attitudes towards disability within different ethnic communities (see Ethnicity and Disability Fact book: Advancing Cultural Competence, 2000;⁷ Opening Doors, 2004⁸). All our work indicates that within and across different ethnic communities attitudes range from being protective and loving towards a family member with a disability to stigmatising, isolating and punishing people with disability.

An education system that is culturally competent needs NOT to make assumptions about people and NOT to label people according to some preconceived ideas about country of origin, ethnicity, gender or class.

We encourage the education system to embrace fully the mission of the NSW Department of Education and Training, that schools 'support students in striving for academic success by focusing on individual learning needs and cater for students from all backgrounds and draw strength from the rich cultural diversity of communities in New South Wales' (Our Vision, NSW Public Schools Strategic Direction 2002 – 2004)⁹.

Because MDAA holds inclusiveness as one of its core principles, MDAA would like to encourage education providers to offer experiences of 'inclusiveness' to all involved in the system - educators, students, families and the community at large, as a path to a cohesive wider community.

NSW Education Sector

There are three providers operating education systems in NSW. The NSW Government's Department of Education and Training (DET) enrolls approximately 70% of all school students, while the various NSW Catholic dioceses and Independent schools enrol approximately 20% and 10% respectively. (Independent Schools Council of Australia 2004)¹⁰.

The NSW Board of Studies was established in 1990 to serve government and non-government schools in developing school education for Years K-12. It provides educational leadership by developing curricula and awarding secondary school credentials, the School Certificate and the Higher School Certificate. DET

and all non-government schools in NSW must be registered and accredited by the Board of Studies to teach students and be able to enter them for the Board's external exams. As part of the registration and accreditation process all schools must produce policies and procedures that demonstrate how they comply with the *Education Act 1990* (the Act). The focus of the Board's registration and accreditation process is not only on the curriculum and its delivery but also on evidence of the provision of good corporate governance; qualified teaching staff; and broad, inclusive student welfare programs; as well as using Board of Studies syllabuses; and, where required, operating the appropriate boarding facilities. As well, schools must participate in annual, public reporting against core educational and financial measures (Board of Studies 2004)¹¹.

Education Policy

Government Sector

DET states that the 'values in NSW Public Schools include respect, care, cooperation, fairness and democracy'. The key priorities of public schools relevant to this project include the commitment '...to provide children and young people with the foundations for lifelong learning so that they become literate, numerate, well-educated citizens with the capabilities and confidence to make a positive contribution to our society. Public schools deliver a curriculum that meets students' needs and aspirations, is relevant to today's world and is responsive to community expectations' (NSW DET 2004)¹².

Non-Government Sector

When the Board of Studies considers the evidence of compliance provided by a non-government school for registration and accreditation it recognises that these schools vary in philosophy, ethos and practice. The Board ensures that the requirements of the Act are met but accepts that the policies and procedures a school has in place will vary and the content will reflect the context and philosophy of the school. Added to this is the reality that some systems have their own education structure that can make the unravelling of school and system documentation a challenge.

Catholic Schools

The NSW Catholic education sector is made up of eleven dioceses. Each diocese develops its own values and mission statements in response to the National Catholic Education Commission. Of the Commission's Terms of Reference, its directive most relevant to the aims of this project states, 'the Church has and must be seen to have a deep and systematic concern for the quality of education available to all Australians. It shall maintain the Church's

traditional special regard for the spiritually, culturally, physically, and financially disadvantaged or deprived' (Terms of Reference National Catholic Education Commission 2004)¹³. This is reflected at individual diocesan level by statements that include references such as 'working with those who experience disadvantage: rejoicing in our cultural diversity' (CEO Sydney 2000)¹⁴. 'Schools have a clear commitment to making principles of justice central to policies and practice where the lived experiences of school communities and the common good are affirmed and the world around calls us to action on behalf of the poor and marginalised' (CEO Parrammatta)¹⁵.

Independent Schools

Some independent schools operate within a common framework such as religious affiliation (eg, Sydney Anglican Schools Corporation; Australian Association of Christian Schools; Islamic Council of NSW) or educational principles (eg, Montessori schools). Regardless of whether these alliances offer operational guidelines, the schools have to develop policies and procedures to gain registration. Most are available to the public as part of the material each school uses to market itself.

They include statements such as 'Our vision is for Rissalah College to be the provider of the best, comprehensive education program...linked with a strong Islamic school spirit for all who attend the school. Hence our mission is to be an active member of the community, committed to providing a supportive environment in which all members will attain their full potential through the provision of appropriate teaching and learning opportunities. The school will work in harmony with parents and the community to produce students who are able to function within a changing society...Through class programs in Human Society and its Environment and Personal Development, students explore and discuss issues of prejudice, ethnic pride and respect...Being an example of an Islamic educational option' (Rissalah College 2002)¹⁶. Arkana College '...aspires to add positively to the cultural diversity of Multicultural Australia and prepare students for effective participation, as Australian Muslims, in community life' (Arkana College 2002)¹⁷.

Another school declares 'Barker College provides education within a caring, ordered Christian family environment...where each member of the College community is affirmed, valued and challenged;...where students and staff are encouraged to strive for excellence in all areas of endeavour to the best of their ability....' (Barker College 2003)¹⁸.

Programs available to support students with disability

Our understanding of the support programs available in NSW, based on publications and website information, is as follows.

Government Schools

In its Disability Action Plan 2004–2006 DET reports spending over \$72 million in 2003 to integrate 16,000 students into regular classrooms. This assistance could be:

- learning programs developed by teaching staff;
- mobility;
- personal care;
- safety;
- health care procedures;
- liaison between the school and its community;
- special provisions, such as a 'reader' or 'writer' for an examination;
- itinerant support teachers for hearing and vision impaired students;
- Braille and large print services;
- building modifications;
- transport;
- resource support unit;
- supportive adaptive technology; and
- a complaints procedure.

(DET Disability Programs,2004)¹⁹

DET also provides Schools for Specific Purposes that '...cater for students with disabilities for whom regular schools are not the preferred option' and Support classes '...established within regular schools for students with a common need' (Disability Action Plan 2004 p 13)²⁰.

Non-government schools

State and federal governments and other relevant bodies are currently debating the development of national standards in education for people with disability. While this is not the focus of this project it is worth noting that parents are taxpayers and irrespective of what school they choose for their child, the child has a right to equitable access to education services that help maximise their learning potential. Standards and the funding to implement them should be applied to both government and non-government schools. Parents have the freedom to choose the school their child attends and they have the right to expect that the school will provide the education services their child needs.

There are claims that "...students with higher support needs are moving out of non-government schools. There are different eligibility criteria; different range of options available between sectors and states...Students exit non-government schools because of a lack of resources in private systems or a lack of options; pressure on parents for their child with additional needs to conform to school expectations encourages them to change systems. Some Government schools are becoming schools for the 'disadvantaged'" (AASE 2003)²¹.

Catholic Schools

Catholic schools offer mostly mainstream class enrolment, with support, for children with disabilities. Integration funding is provided according to the child's particular needs and upon application to the Commonwealth Strategic Assistance Program or the Students with Disability in Regular classrooms Support Funding.

In the major metropolitan areas schools might have part time or full time special education teachers. These teachers provide individualised assistance to children with special needs both in small groups, away from their classrooms and through helping the class teacher adjust programs to meet the student's needs.

There is also a very small number of independent *Catholic Special Schools*, most of which have at least informal links with the Catholic Education Offices which administer the parish (systemic) schools. These schools cater mostly for children with either a visual or hearing impairment (with or without additional disabilities). One is for children with mild to moderate intellectual disabilities and another for children who are 'emotionally disturbed'. Catholic Special Schools generally run independently. Enrolment of children with disabilities into the Catholic system is primarily through the schools themselves with the support of the Special Education Officer within each diocese. The distribution of staffing resources, especially lacking in rural areas, reflects the availability of trained staff and individual school recruitment policies rather than the failure of a centralised staffing and resource allocation policy based on equity and need.

Independent Schools

The provisions made for children with disabilities within mainstream independent schools varies widely, but all are eligible to apply for integration funding to assist the enrolment of students with special needs. There are a number of *independent Special Schools*, such as two Steiner schools catering for children with special needs, and others run by non-government disability organisations and foundations, for example, Autistic Association; Royal Institute for Deaf and

Blind Children; and Challenge Foundation. The range of disabilities catered for across these schools includes autism; sensory disability (hearing/visual impairments); intellectual disability; emotional disturbance/ behaviour disorders and multiple disabilities. Enrolment is through direct contact with the school.

It is difficult to establish exactly what independent schools are willing to provide for students with disability. Buried in some of the values and mission documents of independent schools are statements that begin to explain some of the experiences reported by the interviewees. 'However, it is of the utmost importance to us that each student is able to gain maximum benefit from the programs we offer, and it may simply be the case that the programs at (Barker College 2003)¹⁸ do not suit your child'. Similar statements are found in a number of school prospectus documents. These schools are clearly advising families that they will not differentiate their curricula to meet the needs of individual students. In these schools students are expected to match the program. There is an implied selection criterion and it is likely to exclude a range of students, including students with disability.

Programs available to support students from NESB

Similarly, both the DET and Catholic education systems provide services to support the learning of students from a NESB. These include Intensive English or New Arrival programs for students who come to Australia with little or no English. English as a Second Language (ESL) programs provide students from a NESB with ongoing individual or small group instruction. DET has a number of advisory groups such as the Advisory Group on Multicultural Education and Training or, as in 2003, the ESL in Schools Advisory Group. In addition, through its 'Ethnic Affairs Priorities Statement' (EAPS) DET reports annually to the NSW Parliament on its performance in this area. The EAPS provides a framework through which the needs of NESB students are identified and strategic developments within the system are planned.

An example of this is the Priority Action Schools Program developed to support schools in communities with 'deep needs'. 'Deep needs' include socio-economic disadvantages; low student education outcomes; poor student behaviour and

attendance; high staff turnover; and fragmented interagency and community support. While 'deep need' is not always associated with NESB or disability, most of the schools involved have significant NESB populations.

DET provides access to free interpreter services to parents and places a wide range of policies on its website, with a majority translated into a variety of languages. These provisions for students from a NESB are also available to various degrees through the Catholic education offices across the state. Available information suggests that the Independent school sector provides similar services to students and families from a NESB. The level and type of service varies from school to school, with some running bi-lingual programs and others making no reference at all to the level of language support they offer to students from a NESB or their families.

As discussed above, some independent schools use entry tests in the selection process. Unless the test is monitored for cultural bias, or is offered in the home language of all applicants and modified to meet ability levels, these tests are an effective way for schools to exclude students who may not 'benefit' from their programs. It greatly reduces the probability of a child with poor language skills and disability being selected for that school.

The Future Direction of Education in NSW

Many of these issues were highlighted in Tony Vinson's 'Public Education Inquiry 2002'²². The final Vinson report made 86 recommendations explicitly framed as a ten-year plan. The report recognised the fundamental strengths of the system while offering a way to restore public education to the standard the government and public expect.

A number of recommendations have been taken up or are under consideration by DET. Others are yet to be addressed. The most significant recommendation made in MDAA's area of concern was the inclusion of students with disability in regular classrooms, with extra funding and resources to facilitate the changes required to achieve this. Other recommendations that would impact on students from a NESB with disability include reduction in class sizes; developing a range of responses to disruptive behaviour; employing mental health liaison officers; greater preparation time and funding for professional development of teachers in standard classes that integrate students with disability; streamlining the procedures for applying for integration funding; a professional incentive scheme to attract experienced, able and local teachers to disadvantaged and remote rural schools; mentor support for beginner teachers; and monitoring and reviewing building and refurbishing programs. These recommendations to improve the quality of education in NSW would benefit all students including those from a NESB with disability.

The 2003 report, 'Knowing Makes a Difference: Learning from the NSW Priority Action Schools Program' (PASP 2003)²³, was designed to support a number of NSW schools in communities with 'deep needs'. The recommendations were that funding should continue; a team of senior officers should manage the program; and programs offering local solutions to local problems should continue to be conceptualized, managed and evaluated. The report also proposed that DET implement procedures establishing differential and flexible staffing for schools meeting the PASP criteria and procedures that foster stability in staffing for schools in communities with 'deep needs'. It proposed that program managers ensure that the learnings of PASP schools are shared with like schools and focus on changing pedagogies (teaching methods) and student engagement (strategies to keep students interested and learning) to improve student learning outcomes; that staff mentoring is a core component; and that staff in PASP schools participate in interagency and community development forums to familiarise themselves with the initiatives available to support families and young people in communities with 'deep needs'.

In a submission to the Productivity Commission's 'Review of the Disability Discrimination Act 1992' the Communication Project Group observed that "the mainstreaming of children into the regular school system is seen as financially beneficial to the overall education system as well as socially and educationally beneficial to the child with the disability." For children from a NESB with disability the "...lack of language skills...can be confused with intellectual impairment or degrees of intellectual impairment. The mainstreaming of children with disabilities into regular classrooms where the teachers have no specialist training has resulted in an increase in the number of children whose abilities are being underestimated. Even where a problem is recognised teachers lack the time and skills to provide the extra assistance many of these children need. The result is that at least some children with disabilities who come from non-English speaking backgrounds are failing to reach their potential. In some cases it can even have an impact on the type of schooling the child with a disability receives and the school which the child is able to attend, e.g. the parents may be advised to seek enrolment in one school rather than another or in the private rather than the state system"²⁴.

The information quoted above has been available to the education sectors' policy makers and strategic planners since 2001, yet similar concerns were reported to MDAA by interviewees in 2005. This indicates that the many recommendations put forward by these reviews, commissions and programs, have so far not been taken up or implemented to effect the desired changes they all highlighted.

For this report, once we had conducted all interviews, we undertook a study of the policies and practices of the three education sectors to gain a better understanding of the context of MDAA consumers' experiences. Our initial inquiries to DET, Catholic and Independent schools for access to policy statements and procedural documents were met either by referring us to the relevant website or by the response that they were unavailable because they were currently being reviewed or updated. A number of the education systems appear to have a five-year cycle of strategic planning. The Sydney Catholic Education Office has recently completed an External Review to be used to develop its next strategic management plan and DET currently has 'The Futures Review' under way to inform its strategic development.

Statistics

Definition of NESB

The Community Relations Commission for a Multicultural NSW (CRC) is the NSW Government's advisory body whose stated objective is leading and facilitating the equal participation of all communities within a harmonious, cohesive and multicultural New South Wales. The CRC's mission is 'through continuous improvement, innovative and efficient management to be the central reference point providing leadership and partnership to the Government, the communities and the private sector enabling maximum benefit from our cultural diversity to all the people of New South Wales' (CRC Annual Report 2004 p. 2)²⁵

Through the Ethnic Affairs Priorities Statement the CRC has published the terminology and concepts to be used by government departments in its 'Principles of Multiculturalism' 2001 document. It states that the term 'non-English speaking background' is only appropriate when it accurately describes the person or group it refers to. In the education, health and disability sectors NESB refers to:

- the clientele of English as a Second Language (ESL) programs;
- clients who need, or may need, the service of interpreters, bilingual workers, etc;
- a person whose first language is not English, when that is relevant to the issue at hand, for example, when there are communication difficulties.
- immigrants to Australia who come from countries where English is widely spoken, or is a lingua franca, eg, India, Pakistan, Hong Kong, Fiji or parts of Africa, who may also suffer disadvantage, eg, in employment and service access.

- o Australian-born children from ethnic communities.

(CRC Annual Report 2004 p. 2)²⁵

We believe that it is essential to acknowledge the ethnic background of the parents as many of the students rely heavily on the support provided by their parents. At MDAA we believe it is therefore important to take into account not just the ethnic background of the children, but also the ethnic background of their parents. We have developed a definition that includes both first and second generation people from a NESB. This definition includes a person who was:

- o born overseas and whose language or culture is not English or Anglo-Celtic / Saxon
- o born here in Australia and the first language or culture of at least one parent is not English or Anglo-Celtic / Saxon
- o born in Australia with linguistic or cultural background other than English or Anglo-Celtic / Saxon who wish to be identified as such. (Less talk more action, 2000)²⁶

Definition of Disability

DET defines disability to include students who have intellectual or physical disabilities; hearing or vision impairments; emotional disturbances; or a significant language disability.

The educational needs of students are assessed in the following areas:

Domains	Focus Areas
Personal care	Hygiene Eating and dietary procedures Medical procedures Safety
Mobility	Movement Posture
Communication	Expressive communication Receptive communication

Domains	Focus Areas
Key learning areas	General understanding Academic needs Motor skills
Social skills	Behaviour Participation

As well, students with learning difficulties, language delays or difficulties in behaviour are included in DET statistics on the number of students receiving assistance to support their learning in DET schools. (Students with disabilities in regular classrooms 2000)²⁷

The Figures

What we know

The National Scene

The Productivity Commissions Inquiry Report on the Review of the Disability Discrimination Act 1992²⁸ states that over the past decade there have been three noticeable trends in participation in schools education by students with disability. The first trend is that the total number of full-time equivalent (FTE) Australian school students identified as having a disability (for government program purposes) almost doubled between 1995 and 2002. They are unclear about the reasons for this increase but suggest earlier diagnosis and changes in the range of conditions that are recognised as a disability may help explain the increase.

The second trend identified was the increase in the number of students with disability entering mainstream schools in both the government and non-government sectors while the number enrolled in special schools has remained relatively static. The third trend is that while the majority of students with disability attend mainstream government schools, an increasing number are attending non-government mainstream schools. This movement from government to non-government schools for students with disability while at a slower rate reflects the same trend for students without identified disability.

The Reviews figures show the type of schools Australian students with disability attend. The majority, 68%, attend a government mainstream school. Another 15% attend a government special school. 12.6% attend a catholic mainstream or

special school and 5.4% attend an independent non-government mainstream or special school.

The Review does not have figures for metropolitan, rural or remote regions. Nor does it attempt to break the figures down by State or Territories. For this project the most significant findings are the three major trends outlined.

Figures on ethnicity

CRC statistics show that in 2001, **23.3%** of the NSW population was born overseas and that of the 70.6% born in Australia 18% had at least one parent born overseas. Therefore 41.3% of the population of NSW were born overseas or have at least one parent who was born overseas. (CRC; Table 2.5 Generational Components of the Population – NSW: 2001 and 1996 Census;)²⁵

Other CRC statistics show that in 2001, **24.35%** (1,534,834) of the state’s population speak one of 144 languages, other than English, spoken in NSW households. (CRC Table 2.6 Languages Spoken at Home in NSW 2001 and 1996 Census)²⁵

In NSW, there are 1.155 million young people between **5 and 17 years** old. Of those, **16.6%** (191,886) speak a language other than English (LOTE) at home and 7.5% were born overseas (ABS 2001, Population and Housing Census)¹

This is consistent with the CRC statistics that in 2001, **15.5%** (913,6400) of people who identify themselves as poor or non-English speakers and speak a language other than English at home are aged between **0-12 years**. (CRC Table 2.7 Languages spoken at home by persons who speak English not well or not at all – NSW 2001 Census)²⁵

Table 1: NESB student full-time enrolments (DET Annual Report 2004 p.181) ²⁹

Full time e enrolments	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
Primary and secondary	176,429	182,884	187,506	191,818	196,651

Figures on Disability

The latest disability data (ABS 2003) identifies that 10% of all children aged **5-14 years** have a disability, with 7.5% of all children in that age group having some schooling or employment restrictions. (ABS Table 6. All persons disability status by age and living arrangements 2004 pp. 20-21)²⁵.

The Disability Action Plan 2004 - 2006 reports that 'the number of students with disabilities, more than 16,000 are enrolled in regular schools and supported by integration funding in excess of \$72 million in 2003 (Disability Action Plan 2004 – 2006 p.17)²⁰.

Figures on ethnicity and disability

Using MDAA's definition of NESB which includes second generation Australians, people from a NESB with disability make up about 5% of the total population of NSW, or about 25% of all people with disability (NSW population = 6,326,579 with 5% of that total being people from a NESB with disability = 314,335) (ABS, Disability, Ageing and Carers: 1998) 1.

Given that there are no indicators which suggest that the rate of disability among children from a NESB is higher or lower than their ESB counterparts, we can extrapolate that 10% of all children who speak a language other than English at home (LOTE) have a disability (19,188) and that of all LOTE children 7.5% (14,395) have some school or employment restrictions. (Kids Stats 2003)³⁰.

Table 2: Total student enrolment in NSW DET schools, SSP and Support units compared with students from NESB enrolment in NSW DET schools, SSP and Support units. Mid year 2003³¹

Type of School	Total enrolment	% of total enrolment	No. of NESB students enrolled	% of total enrolment
Primary & Secondary schools	749,880	100%	196,651	26.2%
SSP	3938	0.5%	974	24.7%
Support classes	12,184	1.6%	3964	32.5%

Type of School	Total enrolment	% of total enrolment	No. of NESB students enrolled	% of total enrolment
SSP & Support classes	16,122	2.1%	4911	2.5%
% of population enrolled in SSP or Support classes	16,122	100% of SSP and support class enrolments	4911	30.4% of SSP and support class enrolments

Table 2 shows that student from NESB make up **26.2%** of the total school population while they account for **30.4%** of the school population enrolled in SSP and Support classes.

Funding

Within DET other programs that support students from a NESB and that could be a source of support for students from a NESB with disability, are reported in the Ethnic Affairs Priority Statement Report 2003.³² For that period the DET budget included:

- \$85 million for ESL and New Arrivals programs for school students from a language background other than English;
- \$2.7 million for the Community Languages Board, Community Languages Schools Program;
- \$806,418 to support Links to Learning program projects aimed at assisting students from a NESB at risk of disengaging from education and training to access and participate in education or accredited training; and
- \$3.9 million to support multicultural education strategies in schools including support for anti-racism education, multicultural perspectives to the curriculum and refugee education strategies to support positive community relations and build links with culturally and linguistically diverse communities.

This total of over \$92 million spent on students from a NESB appears impressive until it is considered against the total DET school budget. It represents

approximately 1.4 % of the total NSW school budget for 2002/2003 which was \$6,533 million for Education Services in Government schools. (NSW DET Annual Report 2003: p. 3.)²⁹

The Disability Action Plan 2004 – 2006 (2004 p.2)²⁰ states that of the total \$7,192 billion NSW education budget 10% (\$719 million) was spent on providing support for students with disabilities. Of this \$719 million, over \$72 million was spent on integrating 16,000 students with disability into regular classrooms. Added to the number of students attending SSP and Support classes, over 32,000 students receive additional support. We already know that in 2003, 4911 students from a NESB were in SSP or support classes and this accounts for over 30% of the enrolments in these special facilities.

What we don't know

The trends outlined by the Productivity Commissions Review of the Disability Discrimination Act 1992 show that the enrollment of students with disability is increasing in the non-government school sector. However MDAA has not been able to gather statistical information on the number of students from a NESB or the number of students with disability enrolled in non-government schools at a State level. The source of this information for the independent schools, the Association of Independent Schools, does not collect statistics on students' ethnic background or disabilities. The NSW Catholic Education Commission collects information on the Catholic sector. They have data on a range of factors and are currently disseminating collection formats across the eleven dioceses to collect data on numbers of students from a NESB, numbers receiving ESL or New Arrivals funding and numbers receiving funding for special needs support. As Table 2 shows, up to 30% of the students enrolled in NSW schools attend non-government schools and we have very little information on what happens to those who come from a NESB with disability.

Table 3: Enrolment in NSW Schools by sector in 2003 (Independent Schools Association of Australia 2003)¹⁰

Sector	Numbers	Percentage
Government	751,185	67.9%
Catholic	236,577	21.4%
Independent	120,802	10.7%
Total	1,108,564	100%

Other information that would have benefited this research relates to funding. While we could access information on DET allocating funds and offering programs aimed at students with disabilities or students from a NESB, it is difficult to establish where those programs intersect and how they are used to meet the needs of students from a NESB with disabilities.

No figures are available to indicate clearly the percentage of students from a NESB with disability in integrated, regular classrooms. Given the DET figures (see Table 2) indicate that NESB students make up over, 25% of the total school population, 25% or over 4,000 students of the 16,000+ students receiving integration funding in regular classrooms (Disability Action Plan 2004 – 2006 p.17)²⁰ should be from a NESB.

Points to consider

Statistical data published by DET for 2003 show that students from a NESB account for 26.2% of the total school enrolment. Students from a NESB with disability make up 32.5% of the total enrolment in Schools for Specific Purposes (SSP) and 24.7% of total enrolment in Support Units¹, or 30.4% of the total enrolment in SSP and Support classes (Table 2).

The Ethnic Affairs Priorities Statement Report 2003²⁷ gave figures that showed a 4.35% and 3.22% increase in the enrolment of students from language backgrounds other than English over the years 2001–2002 and 2002–2003. These figures are reflected in the DET statistic for full-time enrolment from 1999 to 2003 shown in Table 1.

If the increasing enrolment figures for these students is an ongoing trend it can be extrapolated that, as students from a NESB with disability currently represent approximately a quarter to a third of the students in support units or SSP, and by inference a similar percentage of the students with disability or learning difficulties in regular classrooms, then they make up a significant proportion of the student population.

Another viewpoint may be that students from a NESB with disability are over represented in SSP and Support classes and under represented in integrated, regular classrooms.

To clarify this issue more detailed research and data collection need to be undertaken.

Either way this group of students by sheer weight of numbers deserve a strong focus from the education systems. Strategic planning must consider the delivery of services to support this group of students. The services for students with

disability and students from a NESB must intersect and be transferable across the diversity of ethnicity and ability.

The Research

Design and implementation of the Project

An initial search for references on the subject of students from a NESB with disability found that there is little material available relevant to these students and none in the NSW education system. We realised that we needed to collect the information and stories directly from people involved. We originally aimed to interview students as well as their parents, however, due to a range of circumstances (see below), we talked predominantly to parents of children from a NESB with disability. To gather as wide a perspective as possible we aimed to interview parents in metropolitan and rural schools with children with various disabilities. The information collected included age; gender; ethnicity; diagnosed type of disability; enrolment in school; type of school attended; what support they received when making decisions about schooling; level of parental involvement in schools; difficulties experienced and how they were resolved; parents' expectations for their children; and what the students liked and disliked about their school (see Appendix 2 for survey questions).

We identified possible interviewees in consultation with MDAA's Individual Advocates (IA). The IAs contacted consumers by telephone or letter to introduce the project and interviewer. Of the 25 consumers interviewed the researcher interviewed 10 consumers face to face, 7 by telephone; a bi-lingual IA completed 2 interviews with consumers who spoke the same language; 6 surveys were completed (3 consumers completed the survey in discussion with their IA in a regional centre; another 3 surveys were completed and returned by mail). The students live in Sydney, Newcastle, Wollongong and Griffith and attend State, catholic and Independent schools (see Appendix 2 for student profile).

As mentioned above we initially intended to interview students with their parents. In reality accessing students proved difficult. At the times parents were available for interviews, the students were at school and permission to enter schools for research purposes is a complicated process and was unlikely to be approved in the timeframe of the project. In many situations parents indicated that they were not available outside school hours. In 15 of the interviews the parent said that their child could not 'talk enough' to respond to the questions, suggesting that parents of children who are classified as 'high support needs' are well represented in this research.

Once all 25 interviews were completed and all 6 surveys collected, the information gained was sorted into issues.

The Information Collected

1. Who was interviewed

We interviewed 25 parents of 17 boys and 8 girls. 21 lived in Sydney and 4 lived in regional NSW. We interviewed people from 12 different ethnic backgrounds and the students ranged in age between 5 and 21. For more detail see the interviewee profile in Appendix 1.

2. Issues raised

The challenge of raising children at any time can be overwhelming. When your child has a disability those challenges can seem insurmountable. For a parent coming to terms with the reality that your child is different, has a disability and all that entails, is most likely to be a long process. Although often not considered, culture and cultural perceptions play an important role in how individuals respond to disability, as well as how a society responds to people with disability. Ethnicity and the experience of being 'different' add more barriers to a path through the education systems and disability service system. These systems are already difficult for people from an ESB to negotiate. People dislocated from their families by migration may have lost one of their strongest and most effective support systems and often feel alone as they try to do what is best for their child. Navigating through the health, education and disability services of a new country is difficult. In the culturally diverse environment of NSW both the service providers and service users experience challenges.

MDAA's work on ethnic communities' attitudes to disability reveals that while a culture's history and religion is a source of influence, there is no single perception about disability in any community. Within each community there are many different ways of making sense of a disability and of responding to people with disability. Responses to disability are as varied as explaining disability through superstition or blaming a mother's behaviour during pregnancy. Some see disability as an act of God and some value an acquired disability as an honour. Some consider those with a disability a loved family member to be protected, while others consider they are the government's responsibility. There are many reasons for the attitudes individuals and groups have towards disability and this diversity of attitude adds to the circumstances in which families try to gain help for their children irrespective of their background.

All parents want what is best for their child. For some parents achieving this is significantly harder because of systemic barriers placed in their way.

2.1 General

Many of the parents interviewed or surveyed for this project reported issues that were not specific to students from a NESB with disability.

Issues common to students with disability concerning the system and how it works include:

- Time spent on waiting lists: 'waiting' was a recurring theme of interviews. Parents reported that they wait to get appointments to see doctors and specialists for diagnosis; they wait for reports to go from one service to another; they wait for access to therapies and special programs and, if their child is at school, they wait for a place in a special school or support class, and for funding for support teachers. Some parents and students reported waiting to see if the funding would be available next year to continue the support they were receiving. If English is not your first language you struggle with every explanation and are confused and worried by the arrival of each new piece of correspondence, which you may then have to wait for someone to translate or explain to you.
- The lack of interagency communication and networks added to the waiting problem.
- Applying for funding for support services every year and the changing criteria to receive the funding.
- For students with mild or moderate intellectual disability, accessing the appropriate education option and changing between options are difficult.
- Bullying and teasing.
- Students with high support needs are faced with an uncertain future due to constant reviews and changes to government programs.
- There is a continuing need for good educational practice that focuses on maximizing the learning potential for all students rather than focusing on reducing the problems associated with teaching students with disability.

For students from a NESB with disability and their parents these difficulties are exacerbated by the inability of the systems and individuals within the systems to deal with their specific linguistic and cultural needs. When the parties involved cannot talk to each other and have limited understanding and appreciation of the effects of cultural diversity, these differences become major barriers to resolving difficulties. Parents believe that their difficulty with communication means it takes

extra time to solve problems and they worry that their child is missing out on a service they desperately need. The high percentage of students from a NESB in Support Units (they are over-represented in Support Units: 32.5% compared with the 25.8% of students from a NESB in the total school population) indicates that one of the results of the systems' inability to deal with the diversity of its target population is that children from a NESB with disability are more likely to receive lower quality/ more restrictive education as modified Life Skills curricula are common in these classes.

This suggests that parents have not been advised that their child can be enrolled in regular classrooms. Families are not being given clear information about the alternative curricula on offer and schools are failing to recognise that these families need greater support to understand the options available to them.

Some of the difficulties interviewees raised that are specific to people from a NESB with disability relate to communication and cultural diversity, including:

- not knowing where to get a diagnosis from and what that diagnosis means;
- not being advised on how the health, education and disability agencies work;
- not knowing what education options are available for their child;
- not being advised of the policies and daily practices of schools;
- parents not being able to access staff who understand their situation and can guide and advise on accessing the services their child needs; and
- not being provided with a 'culturally competent' education service.

2.2 Expectations

Students from a NESB with disability and their parents are enthusiastic participants in the NSW education system. They want to be there and they want to belong. Parents want their children to be happy and to experience some level of success. Parents recognise that their own levels of language skills, knowledge and understanding of the system are barriers to their participation, however they expect, just as other families do, that the system will help them and offer the assistance needed for their children to succeed.

Habib is 9 years old and attends a special school (SSP). He has lived in an immigration detention centre for six years and was 8 years old before he could go to school. Habib is excited and happy to be making new friends and his family is happy that he is finally able to go to school.

MDAA advocates report experiences where parents reject a child's diagnosed disability and believe their child can achieve anything. Other reports are of parents asking when a child with a diagnosis such as autism would be cured. There are many possible reasons for these reports, from cultural perspectives to understanding the diagnosis and its implications. However, these reports indicate serious concerns with the level and quality of assistance and support provided at the early stages of diagnosis and early intervention.

Probably due to the nature of this project, the parents interviewed were aware of and accepted their child's disability. They all had experience of the education system ranging from currently being enrolled in pre-school all the way to the post school TAFE system.

In NSW, as in all Western societies, the label of having a disability is given to someone once they have undergone a medical examination (see Glossary for the definition of disability used by DET). This means disability is associated with and defined by a deficit, an inability, something a person cannot do. Partly as a result of this, the expectations professionals have about what a person with a disability can achieve are based on what they cannot do.

This medical view of disability is held not only within health services but also within education and disability services. If a child has a physical, sensory, intellectual or psychological disability, it is defined as a deficit, and this is the basis on which they enter the education system and from which expectations of their educational outcomes develop. Families from a NESB, like any other family, want to be part of the system, yet this Western perspective does not always match a family's own cultural perspective of disability and this mismatch of explanation and expectations is perhaps one of the barriers restricting access to services and support for children with disability. Overall it appears that many parents we interviewed have absorbed the deficit model and have accordingly adjusted their expectations downwards. Students who spend a significant amount of time in this system, which essentially responds to their deficits, do not easily develop their potential.

A significant number of consumers interviewed (40%) were happy with the placement of their child in the education system and reported no major issues. There were common factors within this group:

- the way they participated in the project;
- their experience before entering the education system; and
- whether their child had high or low support needs.

The majority of respondents who reported being happy completed the survey form or were interviewed by telephone. These two methods did not collect as much information as face-to-face interviews. Six of the surveys were completed by students who were helped by a family member or an advocate. All of these students have an intellectual disability and their families are from a NESB and have limited experience of the education system. The responses on the written surveys were short; often one-word answers and more information could have been gained if the researcher had been able to ask for clarification or more information. Some telephone interviews elicited similar incomplete information. This was because the interviewee had very little time or limited English but refused an interpreter or was not really interested but participated perhaps from a sense of wanting to assist the interviewer or MDAA.

Three students who are part of this group had spent a long time in an Immigration Detention Centre (5 years) and their responses were overwhelmingly about the freedom to attend a school and not be behind bars. They completed the survey with the help of an older sibling and there was no information regarding diagnosis of disability or whether the family had received any information about other education options available for the children. All three attend Support Classes and they and their family were happy because the children were finally able to attend a school like other children.

Other interviewees expressed this same sense of gratitude that a child was part of the education system and they were grateful to have a place. The families did not **expect** to have a choice nor consider that there might be a better education option available for their child. They simply accepted what the professionals said was best for their child.

When asked what they believed the future held for their children, parents' responses varied depending on the level of support the student required. Parents of students who attended a SSP expected nothing more than an increase in the level of their child's independence and hoped that after they completed school some other source of respite care would be available. Some parents of students who attended Support Units or mainstream classrooms

expressed a desire for their child to participate in further training or employment. Families wanted to maximise their child's capacity in the areas they enjoyed. They either assumed the school would offer suggestions about ways to access further training or did not know what to do.

Khalil's mother did not know what he would do when he left school in a few weeks time but thought the school might tell her what would happen. When asked how she thought he would spend his time she replied that, even though his Spina Bifida made it difficult, he liked working on the computer and was very good at it. Maybe he could study the computer.

For parents of students from a NESB with disability, knowing what options are available and what those options mean can be a problem. The parents who were happy with their child's schooling did not indicate whether they were aware that there were education options or whether they had received any assistance to decide which choice was best for their child. Some parents were positive about their child being with others with a similar level of disabilities. They believe their children were more content when they '... see other kids who have similar problems'. In rural areas access (or lack of access) to trained staff and resources appear to dictate the choices available. The inability of the education sectors to provide the support needed can result in families relocating to larger urban centres. Families respond to having to move with frustration and justify such disruptive moves as being the best option available. They do not question the failure of the education sector to provide the resources the student needs to reach their learning capacity.

Alex's mother explained that her son who has severe, multiple disabilities had been integrated into a mainstream country school. He was the only student in a wheelchair and can't communicate verbally. The school did not have trained staff and ultimately the family moved so he could attend a SSP in a larger town, where there were trained staff and other students who had similar disabilities.

Overall we found that the way we collected the information affected the quality and quantity of information we obtained. Brief written or oral responses without the opportunity for discussion were inconclusive. Families who had experienced deprivation before entering the education system were happy just to have the chance to go to school and did not express any specific expectations of educational outcomes for their children. Similarly, if students had high disability support needs the family did not question the placement but were happy to access the system and the respite care it supplied. They did not question the failure of the education system to provide resources in rural areas that would help maximise their child's potential. They were more likely to find ways to justify

the disruptions to the whole family's lifestyle than question the system that offered the only support they received.

2.3 Before school

A number of difficulties raised by the parents of children from a NESB with disability involve the diagnosis of disability and the lack of connections between the health, education and disability services. There is a large body of research about the cost-benefit analysis of early intervention programs. It suggests that for every dollar spent on early intervention pre-school programs the community gains between seven to ten dollars in future programs (Issues and trends in crime and criminal justice No. 147T, Australian Institute of Criminology, 2004)³³. This type of information suggests that children with disability need access to early intervention programs as soon as possible, making early diagnosis essential.

The situation for pre-school aged children from a NESB with disability is further complicated. As noted above, in the Communication Project Groups submission to the Productivity Commission's 'Review of the Disability Discrimination Act 1992'²⁴ the lack of language skill can be confused with intellectual impairment or degrees of intellectual impairment. This is exacerbated by the fact that pre-school children from a NESB with disability are often cared for at home by adults for whom English is a second language and are less likely to attend pre-school, day care or play groups. This can limit their language, physical, sensory and social development. Early diagnosis and access to early intervention programs is fundamental if children from a NESB with disability are to maximise their learning potential.

Family and friends had suggested to Bien's mother that he might have some problems. At 18 months his mother took him to the local doctor who was Vietnamese and with whom she could communicate easily. He told her that she was worried about nothing as children all develop at different rates. Still concerned she finally went to the Baby Health Clinic who sent him for tests that indicated he had a language delay problem. His mother was grateful that he was finally diagnosed as she could send him to an early intervention program 2 days a week and a regular pre-school for the other 3 days. Next year Bien will start school and receive extra support in the regular classroom.

Bien's mother believes doctors need to be trained to identify problems early and that it is inappropriate to make the mother feel she is silly to worry about her child.

When Dong was 2 years old his mother asked the local doctor if he had a problem because he was not doing things other children his age did. When he went to pre-school she talked to other Vietnamese mothers and kept asking the teacher and doctor if there was a problem. Finally they sent Dong for a hearing test. He will have an operation soon to help his hearing and after that the doctor will send him to a specialist to see if there is any other problem. Dong is now 4 and his mother is worried because he has missed two years of help for his hearing loss and language delay. She is very worried that he might have autism but she won't know for some time. He will be 5 and ready for school before he gets any help and that will make everything harder for him.

For some families the links between health, disability and the education systems, if they exist at all, don't work. Parents with little or no English and no knowledge of these systems, have difficulty finding the right people to ask for help, especially for pre-school age children. Some parents report having greater success when they approach Baby or Community Health Centres. For some families it was not until the child went to pre-school or school that they found someone who would listen to their concerns and direct them to the services they needed. Even then they experienced difficulties accessing the appropriate services, difficulties in communicating information and in understanding and being understood. The system can fail as easily as it did for one parent who, when attending an appointment at a Dental Clinic, did not recognise her name when she was called for the consultation because of how it was pronounced. She had to make another appointment and wait another 6 weeks.

The referral system from doctor to specialist service is both confusing and time consuming. The transfer of information is not automatic and some NESB parents struggle constantly to explain their difficulties to professionals. As discussed earlier, while the frustration of long waiting lists when referred to specialists or services such as speech pathology is common to all students, parents from a NESB worry that because it takes longer for their child to be diagnosed with a disability they will be older before they get access to the help they need. As the mother of a 5 year-old Vietnamese boy with language delay expressed so simply 'if they help them now they won't have as big a problem later'.

In the DET school system the percentage of students from a NESB in Support Units (32.8%) is disproportionate to their representation in the general school population (25.8%) (see Table 1). The concerns outlined in this section help explain these figures. They reflect the perception that the lack of language skills can often be interpreted as a lack of intelligence. This also explains why parents are concerned that if their child does not receive early intervention such as early speech therapy, their development is delayed. The amount and quality of

information NESB families receive about their child's diagnosis and the educational choices available to them help to explain these figures further.

2.4 Entering the school system

To access SSP, Support Unit or funding for support within regular classrooms, a student has to be diagnosed with a disability. As outlined earlier DET uses a deficit model based on what a student cannot do, to determine funding for support programs.

As DET administers the allocation of Integration Funding to the non-government sector, the same deficit model applies to all students with disability in all schools.

Within the DET sector a number of parents reported difficulty finding the right placement for their child. In some cases this was because there were no appropriate facilities in the area where they lived. Often their only educational choice is the local government school, where staff may lack the appropriate training and facilities are not accessible. Their child might be the only child with high support needs in the school and there may not be any staff available to provide for the child's personal care needs let alone their education needs.

As a 3 year old Robert's family moved to Canberra so he could access an early intervention program recommended for children with Cri-du-chat syndrome. The family moved again and Robert's primary schooling was in a support unit in a NSW north coast Public School but the staff did not have adequate training to meet his high support needs.

When the family moved to Sydney DET suggested a placement in a support unit. The family did not believe the unit could provide the appropriate support. They felt that the Principal also had doubts about the suitability of the placement. Someone suggested that a SSP school would be more appropriate as Robert is non-verbal, immobile and has epilepsy. The family had to persuade DET to offer him a place at a SSP that has a long waiting list. However with the help of the DET District Office he was offered a place after 6 weeks. Robert's mother believes that because she was educated in Australia and worked in the disability sector she knew what services were available and whom to contact to get what her son needed.

Some families who have waited for a diagnosis then have to wait for a place in a suitable school may experience further delays waiting for transport arrangements. While transport, together with waiting lists, is a problem for all students with disability, for families from a NESB this is confusing and complicated. Much of the system appears to be delivered, not in an orderly

fashion based on clear criteria and waiting lists, but on 'who screams the loudest'. As many families from a NESB lack the confidence to 'scream' and the knowledge of where best to apply pressure, this adds to the delay in students receiving appropriate schooling.

Cha initially attended a school for the blind. His family were worried that he was not progressing but didn't know how to alleviate their concerns. Eventually the teachers suggested he be tested and only then was the severity of his intellectual disability realised.

With DET assistance an appropriate school placement was found but Cha had to wait for 6 months before he could start. Cha also has physical disabilities and needs transport to and from school. It was 6 weeks before the bus could start taking him to and from school

The stories above highlight the problems NESB families can face before their child starts school. If they don't know how the health system works and whom to approach for advice, they have no alternative but to accept professional advice without question.

Getting access to non-government schools for students from a NESB with disability depends on the resources available for students with special needs. As these schools tend to have individual school recruitment policies the schools' willingness to apply for integration funding and employ staff with the appropriate qualifications influence the choices parents can make for their child. Changing schools or education systems often means seeking experienced help and waiting long periods for a place to become available or appropriate resources to be found.

Sylvia's parents wanted her to stay in a Catholic school for high school. They knew she would have to go somewhere that could offer her ongoing support as she has a moderate intellectual disability. They did not know how to find the school she needed.

The Special Education teacher from Sylvia's primary school had always been very helpful explaining how Australian schools work. She contacted a lot of schools and took Sylvia to see the school and meet the teachers before they decided where to send her. The family felt they were lucky that the teacher was willing to help because they didn't know what to do, where to start or what questions to ask to get the information they needed.

Some students' needs cannot be met in the Catholic or Independent school sectors. Parents reported problems when the school said they couldn't modify the curriculum or classroom environment to cater for their child. When this

happens making the move to another school may mean that parents have to abandon the sector they chose for religious, cultural or academic reasons. It may mean relocating a child to a school a long distance from their home and friends. These changes affect the whole family as daily routines may have to be changed and may become more complicated. There is no systematic process to initiate these changes and it is often left to the goodwill of teachers to help families navigate their way from one system or school to another.

George was always in trouble and his mother didn't know what to do. She knew her son was very unhappy, often crying and not wanting to go to school. His little sister was in the same class and doing well and he was already repeating Year 2. When the school suggested he go to another school that offers curriculum for children with moderate intellectual disability, she was anxious and because she grew up in Lebanon and her English isn't great she worried that she wasn't understanding what she was told. She wanted him in a Catholic school but none offered the type of class he needed. George's siblings were already at two different schools and the daily delivery to and from school was already complicated.

The Special Education teacher managed to get George into a Support Unit at a school close to home and free transport solved the problem of getting all the children to where they should be at the right time.

George had to stay at his old school for 3 terms, however, before a place was available in the new school. His mother reported that she sometimes kept him home during this period as he was so unhappy and often in trouble. She said he is happy at his new school and has friends he doesn't fight with.

Some families need help once they have found a school for their child. Delays in accessing the free transport arrangements for students attending SSP or Support Units is common, however when language is a barrier some families need help understanding the problem and finding solutions. Both government and non-government sectors do not necessarily offer assistance that all parents can access. While DET does employ Community Information officers - NESB (CIO) they are not available in all schools or districts (only seven CIOs in regional areas in 2003) and not all parents know they are available or can access these workers if they are not from the same cultural or linguistic background.

Dahab has an intellectual and physical disability and started school at a Support Unit some distance from her home. Her family were advised that she would be transported to and from school daily. When the bus didn't arrive they had no alternative way of getting her to school. Because they could not speak English they asked MDAA to find out what had happened. Dahab could not go to school until MDAA arranged for the bus to take her.

2.5 In the system

Having finally secured a place within the system does not solve all the difficulties faced by students from a NESB with disability and their families. For some the problems are ongoing. The overriding difficulty is communication.

2.5.1 Communication

Many problems identified are about the system not clearly communicating with parents. This is a failure of both the mechanisms already in place, or these mechanisms not being implemented, and the need to incorporate cultural competence into these mechanisms. This breakdown of communication is at the core and makes it hard for NESB parents to understand what and why difficulties occur and to participate in finding solutions.

Approximately half the parents reported that communicating with teachers was generally good. Most classroom teachers operate a communication book that the student takes home each day and teachers and parents communicate with each other by writing messages in the book. Problems occur when the parents are not fluent readers or writers of English and the difficulties are even greater when the parent has no or limited spoken English. The use of interpreters overcomes some problems but their availability and the skill of the interpreter, impacts on effective communication. While DET provides interpreter services and free telephone interpreter services for parents, the system can fail. When sudden or unexpected difficulties arise parents with limited English language skills are expected to know the procedure and how to make best use of the interpreter service. Using an interpreter, however, does not always mean that information is clearly communicated or problems are resolved to everyone's satisfaction, as the following story highlights.

'Recently the school told me that the funding for Hao's teacher's aide time has been cut for next year. He has a language delay and mild autism but has been doing well so I want him to keep the funding so he continues to do well because after next year he goes to high school. I tried to make an appointment to see the Principal but I couldn't get in to see him. I went home and rang the TIS (telephone interpreter service) and rang the school to speak to the Principal. Through the interpreter I explained why I wanted to speak to him. He said he knew and he couldn't do anything about it. He wouldn't discuss it any more...I don't understand why this is happening and who's going to help him'.

DET also publishes a majority of their policies and procedures in other languages. All are available on their website, but where parents do not have access to computers or the skill to navigate the site, they rely on the school printing copies. Not all documents are available in all languages and while this is an ongoing DET project none of the parents we talked to reported accessing the translated information.

The non-government sector does not have systematic arrangements in place. It is left to individual schools, or in the Catholic sector, dioceses, to make their own arrangements. Information on whether and how the Catholic dioceses operate a service, such as the Telephone Interpreter Service, was not available to the researcher. The impression gained was that providing these services is expensive and beyond the sector's capabilities. This may be an area where the Government should be proactive and move to ensure equity by providing a free service to all students and their families to access these services.

2.5.2 Funding

Parents struggle to understand why the funding of their child's special education needs is constantly reviewed and, as in Hao's story above, can suddenly change. The criteria used to allocate funds are complicated in all sectors especially when they come from a variety of sources including both Federal and State governments (i.e. the Commonwealth Strategic Assistance Program for Primary schools with support classes or the NSW DET Students with Disabilities in Regular Classroom Funding Support Program). In the Catholic and Independent schools, parents, church and other organisations as well as governments provide funding programs for students with disability.

While the demand on schools to provide more can lead to budgets being redirected, the need to communicate these changes, especially when funding is

reduced or stopped, is paramount. Students and families, as well as staff, are all affected by this and the administrators of all the education sectors need to work harder to reduce the stress and anxiety this causes.

2.5.3 Community Information Offices and Community Liaison Officers

DET has a number of Community Information Offices – NESB (CIO) located across NSW. In 2003 twenty CIOs were based in 14 districts and supported schools across 34 districts to strengthen links with parents and community members from language backgrounds other than English. We found that where CIOs are employed in a school, parents recognised that they provide an important service, not just as language interpreters but also as ‘system’ interpreters. These workers give the parents explanations of what is happening, explain available choices and generally assist the parents to understand how the ‘system’ works. However, some parents reported incidents where community language workers who offered great help and support were suddenly losing funding for their position.

The Priority Action Schools Program (Knowing Makes the Difference: p 82)²³ reports the successful use of Community Liaison Officers (CLO) to inform parents about school matters. Some schools in the program employed CLOs from local ethnic communities to help the school facilitate school – parent relations and parent participation in the project.

From the information this project collected about the role of CIO (NESB) and CLOs, the experiences of families and students from a NESB with disability would improve if these roles could be combined and their numbers increased. Having a worker in place to facilitate community and school interaction and cultural competence would be of great help to these families.

‘We have a worker at the pre-school who speaks Vietnamese and Chinese. She has been helping with Bien’s enrolment for school next year because his language delay means he will need extra help. I needed help completing all the forms to get funding for the extra help. She also helps us write letters and take up petitions for changes in the school. We just got told that next year there is no more funding for her to be working there. We think the Principal doesn’t like us being able to say what we want; now we are too powerful’.

2.6 Trying to change the present situation

Parents reported difficulties when they wanted to change their child's school. Some parents needed to move from rural areas to urban centres to find an appropriate school. Parents also reported that it is harder to find the resources required for students with high support needs in rural areas. Both of these difficulties are illustrated by the following story.

Alex started school when he was 4 years old in a regular classroom in rural NSW. As he has autism, spina bifida, is intellectually disabled and can't speak, he has high support needs. The school employed a good, though untrained, support teacher's aide and it was OK. But it didn't work as well in high school because he had a different teacher for each class and none of the teachers had the necessary training to cope with his physical needs and change the work for him.

Alex was the only one in the high school with a wheelchair let alone his other support needs. Also as they got older the other kids teased him and he had no friends, and he was very, very unhappy. His mother said 'I knew we had to get him into a Special School where he would get the support he needed, have the trained staff and facilities. I had to get my husband to sell his successful business and move the family to Newcastle where there are some Special schools. These schools are better for students with Alex's high support needs and he is happier'.

For parents of students with low support needs such as language delay, milder forms of autism or low and moderate intellectual disability, the decisions about schooling and trying to change from one choice to another can be difficult. Some families are not given any choice, or offered explanations about the differences between integration in a regular classroom and attending Support Units. Parents reported having to take the initiative to change classes when their children experienced difficulties.

When Hao was at pre-school he was diagnosed with language delay and the assessor suggested he attend a Special School. His mother wanted to see how he would go in a normal school and she finally got DET to agree. After 3 years she felt he was not doing well so asked them to put him in a Support Unit. By then Hao's mother had found out from other Vietnamese parents that there was a significant difference between a Special School (SSP) and a Support Unit. It took a long time before the school would agree to Hao changing classes. There was no place available for him and they waited 6 months for one to be available. He is nearly 10 and his family is now happy with his progress.

In other incidents where parents were not happy with the placement recommended by DET or the school, they found it extremely difficult to communicate with the staff and to change the situation. When they did manage to organise a change of class or teacher, the student frequently experienced long periods waiting for the change to occur and at times didn't attend school during these periods. If parents work this means that other care arrangements have to be made. These difficulties take away from the child's educational experience and add to the stress and anxiety of all involved. Some parents are forced to go to extreme lengths to find solutions to their problems.

In 2003 Robert and his family were not happy with the class he was in at his Special school. His mother saw that his behaviour was changing; he was unhappy and had arrived home with unexplained bruising. The School could not explain what was happening and offered no solutions.

At the start of 2004 Robert was again placed with the same teacher and the family was told it was the only option. They decided to withdraw him from school until the problem was resolved and that meant he was out of school for 23 weeks.

The family approached the Principal, District office and the Disabilities Liaison Officer to help resolve the problems. They also sought help from MDAA and finally Robert was placed with a compatible teacher. As well, an explanation was found for the bruising and Robert's mother reports that he is now happy and they are satisfied as there is now better communication between all parties.

2.6 1 Communicating policy and procedures and their impact on parents from a NESB

Changes in policy and procedures do happen and these need to be fully explained to parents, even if there has been a lot of publicity on the topic. Parents who do not read or speak English fluently may not receive the message clearly.

One of the policy and procedural changes discussed by the parents interviewed involved access to classrooms. In the past parents have been relatively free to enter their child's classroom at any time. The only restraints have been those of good manners, making appointments for detailed discussions, etc. For a long time it was acceptable that parents could go to classroom doors to drop off forgotten lunches or deliver quick messages. The implementation of the *Child Protection Act 1999* has seen restrictions placed on the movement of unauthorised people around schools. This has meant that in recent years schools have introduced procedures to monitor who is in the school at any time. Most schools now request that all parents sign in when they come into the school and often they are asked to wear a 'Visitor' badge. For parents from a NESB these changes can be implemented and they miss the explanations schools might provide because they are only delivered in English or the language used is too technical and only confuses parents for whom English is a second language.

2.6.2 Parents' ability to participate - Valuing diversity

Some parents believed that their inability to speak English fluently prohibited them from participating in classroom activities. Others felt that they could not participate because they did not understand what happens in the classrooms. Parents said that nobody explained the routine of the classroom or helped them to understand the content of lessons. They were willing to help in their child's classroom but language and cultural differences were seen as barriers.

'Cha is nine and attends a Special school because he is blind, has severe intellectual disability and some physical disabilities. I cannot help in his class because my English is very poor.'

Inclusion of the whole school community is common to the mission and value statements of most schools. Inviting all parents to be part of the school community benefits all stakeholders and is an example of good educational practice.

A number of parents interviewed expressed great delight in attending school activities. They felt they had contributed to special cultural days that celebrated

different communities and had enjoyed cooking and craft activities with the students.

The Board of Studies as part of its curriculum development role includes a multicultural perspective to be incorporated in the key learning areas. This calls for the inclusion and valuing of all groups within a community as a fundamental way of incorporating multiculturalism into the school life. DET has special multicultural resources and programs that include a public speaking competition, multicultural calendar and handbook as well as teaching units and resources to be used to develop a multicultural perspective in the curriculum. All these resources are available to all schools in the government and non-government sectors. The experiences of people from a NESB who participated in this project indicate that the use and implementation of these resources may need to be re-assessed.

2.7 What happens when the student leaves school?

The experiences and concerns of parents of students from a NESB with disability about what happens after school tend to be defined by the level of support the child needs. For those with low support needs the difficulties relate to accessing further training and employment. Parents of students with high support needs were mainly concerned about the care options and availability and security of funding.

2.7.1 Uncertainty creates anxiety

For a number of students with mild or moderate intellectual disability or with low level support needs for a physical disability, access to further training and employment were the main concern. Parents wanted to know what was available and how their child could get a job or the required training. Some families from a NESB believe their children are receiving an education that will give them options similar to those available to their children without disability. They do not understand that if the child attends a Support Unit or SSP they are receiving a modified or differentiated curriculum, and while they may receive their School Certificate or Higher School Certificate, they will not be able to participate in most tertiary education. Students and their families need to know what services and supports are available to them after they complete their secondary schooling.

Parents reported that their child had difficulties accessing support services that the NSW TAFE system advertises. One problem was getting and maintaining apprenticeships. When students do find an apprenticeship they experience exploitation or discover that they cannot physically complete the daily tasks. When parents or students approach TAFE for support to explain to employers

the student's situation, staff were difficult to contact, and despite the use of interpreters, they could not resolve the problems.

Francesca worked hard at school and got her HSC. She wanted to be a hairdresser so she completed a year at TAFE and finally got an apprenticeship through the Spanish community but her employer didn't teach her anything. She washed dishes and was always looking after her employer's grandchildren. After 3 months the employer said she hadn't learnt to do anything and was always tired, so they sacked her.

As Francesca has epilepsy she does get tired, and when she tried to get the TAFE to talk to the employer, they said they couldn't do anything about the situation. Because she hasn't been able to get another apprenticeship she had to stop going to TAFE and now she has to do something else.

Families of students with severe intellectual disabilities or multiple disabilities who have high support needs involving health, hygiene, mobility or communication, reported great anxiety about their child's future. Some parents we interviewed were aware of recent changes to the Department of Ageing, Disability and Home Care programs for school-leavers (ATLAS/PSO programs replaced by the Community Participation Program 2004). These parents were very worried about their child's future. These concerns are magnified when language and cultural differences make communication difficult. The process and practice of ever changing government policy are bewildering and the students appear to be at risk of losing services and support

' I've never used any services like Respite Care because I knew Alex would be picked up at 8:45 and arrive home at 3:30. I've pushed to keep him in school as long as possible by insisting he did 'Pathways' for his HSC. Now I don't know what I'll do because with the cuts in the PSO/ATLAS funding nobody, not even DADHC, seem to know or be able to offer advice. I could be faced with caring for a 120kg, 20 year old who has to have his nappy changed regularly. I just don't think I can do it 24/7. Just physically let alone looking after his 4 siblings.

I love the education system. I was smart enough to understand that the education system would fill the first 20 years of my son's life. I don't know what will happen in the future'.

Some parents were untroubled by the prospect of school ending. When asked what they expected their child would do in the future after leaving school, some responded that they expected the school to help them, while others had no idea.

In one discussion with a group of mothers of pre-school and primary aged children we asked about this. The conversation began with the researcher talking about her own son who has learning difficulties and by the age of 21 had managed to complete two years at University.

This caused the mothers to ask a lot of questions. They were mostly interested in hearing what support he had accessed on his way through school and now at University. They asked if their children would have access to the exam readers and writers he had used. Could their children go to TAFE and use those qualifications to apply for university entry? What type of support did he get at university?

None of the mothers had any idea that these kinds of support may be available to their children.

This conversation highlighted the difference between the experience of parents of a student from a NESB with disability and that of a parent of a student from an ESB with disability. The researcher is from an ESB and has participated in the education and disability sector. All parents of students with disability need to be advised of all the options and services available for their child to maximise their learning capacity. For families from a NESB this information must be delivered in an appropriate way. Culturally competent staff with experience and understanding of the education, health and disability service sectors ought to provide these explanations.

3. Cultural competence

The concept of cultural competence has already been discussed. For the purposes of this report working in a culturally competent way involves individuals, groups and organisations recognising that cultural background influences understanding and perspective. Information must be provided in the context of the recipient's ethnicity and experience.

For parents, trying to accept and understand the nature of their child's disability is difficult. Their cultural perspective on disability can be at odds with the dominant attitudes and approaches and as all parents do they often find it an emotionally challenging time. This is made more difficult for them because they do not know where to go for support. If they have no or little experience of the NSW school system their anxiety is increased. They need access to an experienced worker who understands and does not judge their cultural perspectives on disability. Parents need someone who knows the NSW health and education systems and can assist them and their child to make decisions.

Many of the services these families need are spread across various government departments. Service delivery can become fragmented and ineffective when there is no coordination. If you don't know where to go for help, if you don't understand that different groups and organisations offer different services and you have trouble explaining your child's needs and understanding what is said to you, your child is likely to miss out on the services he or she needs.

'Gopal was 22 months old when we came to Australia and it was not until I took him to the doctor that he said there was a problem. It was really hard accepting that your child has a disability and will never be like other children. We hadn't long come to Australia from India and we had no family here to help or support us.'

The doctor sent us to the Autism Association. He attended their pre-school for 3 years. In that time they kept telling us he couldn't stay there and it made us feel like our child was taking the place that an Anglo child could use. Maybe they didn't mean it that way but we didn't understand that they meant that he could go to a special school. There was no-one to explain the options, no-one who knew what it meant to us to have a child with a disability.'

Parents related incidents where education officials had little knowledge or understanding of their circumstances or their perspective. Often the messages are delivered in such a way that they can easily be misunderstood as the messenger does not take into consideration that a parent, even if they are reasonably fluent in English, receives the message literally and does not understand the Australian context. Parents reported that people they talk to use colloquial phrases. All these experiences lead to confusion, misunderstanding and resentment.

I enrolled Gopal in a special school for Kindergarten and when I went to the school the teacher said she didn't know if they could look after an Indian child because they were used to having Chinese children. I didn't know what to say or do or what she meant. The teacher apologised later and said she should not have said that but it worried me.

The teacher had to tell me how to dress him when he went to school. I sent him in a woolen top and they seemed annoyed and told me that I had to put a singlet, a T-shirt and then a warm top. I felt foolish because I didn't understand the weather here.

During one Christmas period I got to the door of the school and the teacher said, 'Go home we can't look after him today'. I was really upset and just turned around and went home. Later I found out that they had staff off sick that day and because my son has high support needs they

didn't have enough staff to look after him. But they didn't explain it to me. Why would they speak to me that way? They wouldn't speak to an Australian mother that way."

Cultural competence training for staff would help reduce incidents such as those outlined above. Staff must be trained and given resources to use to help parents understand the system and the dominant culture. Alternatively parents should have access to a worker such as the CIOs currently employed by DET, who understand and are open to discussing different cultural perspectives on disability and can communicate the NSW education, health and disability service sectors to the parents. Such a worker could guide both parents and service providers (teachers, occupational therapists, etc.) to a greater understanding and appreciation of each other. Parents unfamiliar with the education system would benefit from a clear explanation of information about alternative options, and their implications, when making decisions about the appropriate school choice for their child. Where problems occur these workers could offer families support in dealing with sensitive issues and assisting them to use appropriate complaints procedures where appropriate.

The effectiveness of parents from a NESB receiving clear advice and appropriate support is illustrated in Phuoc's story reported below where his parents had access to an organisation that guided them through the education options available. Having access to a worker who can help them understand their child's disability and guide them in their decision-making has benefited all parties. Receiving this type of support through such a stressful process benefits all families regardless of background but as we have found, for families from a NESB who can't readily access support, it is a far more complicated and difficult situation. The issues identified by this research suggest the support that is currently available frequently fails students from a NESB with disability and their families.

'When we came to Australia our doctor sent us to a specialist who told us to go to the Autism Association. Phuoc was in their school for 3 years and then when he was ready to leave the Autism Association School they helped find him a school near home. He went there for ½ day at first and they built it up until he was going every day by the end of the year. This year he is fully integrated into his class and is happy.'

Conclusion

This report aims to describe the context in which the education sector operates in NSW and what opportunities it offers students from a NESB with disability to reach their learning potential.

We have presented information in a way that reflects the experiences of parents of students as they progress through the system starting with what happens before students enter school, their experiences accessing the system, what happens while they are at school and some of their experiences and concerns about life after leaving school.

Within those broad areas we set out some of the difficulties parents and students experience:

- gaining diagnosis;
- lack of links between the agencies for education, health and disability services;
- options available in the system for students with disability;
- funding for support services;
- waiting lists for vacancies in Support Units or SSP;
- ability to move between education options;
- communication between schools, parents, students and other parts of the education sectors;
- the need for good practice and the universal implementation of a multicultural perspective in the curriculum;
- clear communication of policies and procedures to all parents and students;
- clear representation of the post school options available to students with various levels of disability and support needs;
- cultural competence training for staff; and
- guides through the system.

We discussed the fact that these issues are relevant to all students with a disability in NSW schools. What makes them of major significance to students from a NESB with disability is that these students are not perceived as part of the cultural mainstream.

We identified that the education system has held a number of reviews and inquiries over the past 5 years and that the resulting recommendations offer many worthwhile suggestions that would support the learning of students from a NESB and students with disability. The most significant of these for this project was the Vinson Inquiry's recommendation that students with disability be integrated into mainstream classrooms. Other supportive recommendations include reducing class sizes; developing a range of responses to disruptive behaviour; employing mental health liaison officers; greater preparation time and funding for professional development of teachers in standard classes that integrate students with disability; streamlining the procedures for applying for integration funding; a professional incentive scheme to attract experienced, able and local teachers to disadvantaged and remote rural schools; mentor support for beginner teachers; and monitoring and reviewing building and refurbishing programs.

The introduction of Community Liaison workers and DET's 'Community Schools' and 'Families First' programs are responses to some of these recommendations. These initiatives work through a coordinated network of government and non-government services (NSW Health; Community Services; Ageing and Disability; Education and Training; and Housing) and aim to provide wide-ranging support for families raising children. These programs offer guidelines for the strategies that must be developed to support students from a NESB with disability and their families.

Currently, however, MDAA consumers who have experienced mainstream classroom integration, report difficulties they could not resolve. Some of these include the sudden withdrawal of support funding for students in mainstream classrooms; bullying by students without disabilities; and an inability to interact socially, causing feelings of isolation and loneliness. The families felt powerless to help their child and frustrated trying to communicate with the school.

The submission to the Productivity Commission's 'Review of the Disability Discrimination Act 1992' already referred to raised issues about mainstreaming of children from a NESB with disabilities into regular classrooms, especially when the teachers have no specialist training. It reported that these teachers often lack training and time and this resulted in students from a NESB failing to reach their potential. The Commission noted that the lack of adequately trained staff in regular classrooms 'can even have an impact on the type of schooling the child

with a disability receives and the school which the child is able to attend, e.g. the parents may be advised to seek enrolment in one school rather than another or in the private rather than the state system'.²⁴

This may explain comments by some parents that their children, especially if they had moderate to high intellectual disability and/ or high support needs, were happier in a support unit or SSP. They were with staff trained to provide the support they needed and it is where the 'professionals' recommend they should be. More significantly this is reflected in the statistical information we collected for 2003 that shows enrolment figures of 24.7% for SSP and 32.5% for Support Units for students from a NESB.

While the many reviews and reports cited in this project date back to 2000, similar concerns were reported to us in 2005 by a number of interviewees. This suggests that the process of placing students from a NESB with disability into mainstream classroom, Support Units or SSP, must consider language skill as well as disability when providing staff training and resources. Staff in all education settings need the skills to differentiate between language skill and level of disability as well as the ability to modify curriculum to meet the learning needs of the student. To support all students, teachers and school staff need a greater understanding of what it means to be from a NESB and have a disability. They need to recognise that there is diversity across and within all cultures and that this cannot be generalised as 'NESB' or 'Anglo-Australian'.

The development of cultural competence of all stakeholders must therefore be one of the first priorities.

There is a gap in the current literature, reviews and inquiries as few address the combined impact of being from a NESB and having disability. The Government, Catholic and Independent school systems all offer some level of support to students with disability and to students who are new arrivals to the country or for whom English is their second language. The experiences reported by MDAA consumers show that these services seldom overlap. Added to this is no recognition that cultural competence is as much an issue in the delivery of education to students with disability as it is to other students.

Developing cultural competence in staff, students and the community is the key to schools achieving the mission and value statements that are presented as the goals of education in NSW. Most importantly it will help all students, including those from a NESB with disability, to maximise their learning potential.

References and endnotes

-
- 1 Australian Bureau of Statistics: Population by age and sex NSW 30 June 2004. as referenced by CRC Annual Report 2003-2004 (2004) Community Relations Commission, For a multicultural NSW: Sydney, NSW, Australia. Accessed on line 2/05 from: http://www.crc.nsw.gov.au/publications/annrep0304/part1_intro_corp_gov.pdf
- 2 Multicultural Disability Advocacy Association of NSW. (2000). Multicultural Disability Advocacy Association of NSW Constitution. Multicultural Disability Advocacy Association of NSW Inc.: Parramatta, NSW, Australia.
- 3 Family Advocacy. (2001). So Near and Yet So Far: students with disability and the NSW public education system. Submission to the Vinson Inquiry into Public Education. Institute for Family Advocacy and Leadership Development: Epping, NSW, Australia.
- 4 Arthur Phillip High School. (2001). The school. Arthur Phillip High School. Parramatta NSW, Australia. Accessed online 10/04 from: <http://aphs.nsw.edu.au>
- 5 Stroeve, W. (1998) One of the kids: educating children with or without disabilities together in the same classes and schools. A guide for parents, Disability Council of NSW: Sydney, NSW, Australia.
- 6 Harris, P. (2004). Cultural Competency: Putting it into practice. A review of the Australian and International literature. Multicultural Disability Advocacy Association of NSW: Harris Park, NSW, Australia.
- 7 Multicultural Disability Advocacy Association of NSW . (2000a). Ethnicity and disability fact book: advancing cultural competency. Multicultural Disability Advocacy Association of NSW Harris Park, NSW, Australia.
- 8 Multicultural Disability Advocacy Association of NSW . (2004). Opening Doors: (Disability experienced in ten ethnic communities in Australia (2004) MDAA. Harris Park NSW
- 9 NSW Department of Education and Training. (2002). Our Vision: NSW Public Schools Strategic Direction 2002 – 2004. NSW Department of Education and Training Sydney, NSW, Australia. Accessed online 11/04 from: https://www.det.nsw.edu.au/aboutus/strategy2002_04.htm
- 10 Independent Schools Council of Australia. (2004). Statistics: Number of schools by sector and type 1990 – 2003. Independent Schools Council of Australia: Australia. Accessed online 01/05 from: http://www.ncisa.edu.au/html/stats/school_stats2a.html
- 11 Board of Studies. (2004). What is the Board of Studies NSW? Board of Studies NSW: Sydney, NSW, Australia. Accessed online 01/05 from: <http://www.boardofstudies.nsw.edu.au/contacts/index.html>
- 12 NSW Department of Education and Training. (2002). NSW Public Schools Strategic Directions 2002 – 2004. NSW Department of Education and Training: Sydney, NSW, Australia. Accessed online 11/05 from: https://www.det.nsw.edu.au/strat_direction/stratdir02_04/pub_school.htm
- 13 National Catholic Education Commission. (2004) Terms of Reference. National Catholic Education Commission: Australia. Accessed online 01/05 from: <http://www.ncec.catholic.edu.au/reference.htm>
- 14 Catholic Education Office. (2004). Vision and Mission. Catholic Education Office: Sydney, NSW, Australia. Accessed online 02/05 from: http://ceo.syd.catholic.edu.au/about_us/vision_and_mission.html

-
- 15 Catholic Education Office Parramatta. (2001). Vision Statement. Schools. Catholic Education Office: Parramatta, NSW, Australia. Accessed online 01/05 from: http://www.ceo.parra.catholic.edu.au/index_sc.htm
- 16 Rissalah College . (2002). Mission Statement. Rissalah College: Lakemba, NSW, Australia. Accessed online 02/05 from: http://www.rissalah.nsw.edu.au/mission_statement.htm
- 17 Arkana College . (2002). About our school. Arkana College: Kingsgrove, NSW, Australia. Accessed online 02/05 from: <http://www.arkana.nsw.edu.au/>
- 18 Barker College. 2003). Aims and objectives: Vision and Values. Barker College: Hornsby, NSW, Australia. Accessed online 02/05 from: <http://www.barker.nsw.edu.au/vision.asp?page=6>
- 19 NSW Department of Education and Training. (2004). Disability Programs, Public Schools NSW. NSW Department of Education and Training. (2004): Sydney, NSW, Australia. Accessed online 12/05 from: <http://www.schools.nsw.edu.au/studentsupport/programs/index.php>
- 20 NSW Department of Education and Training. (2004). Disability Action Plan 2004 to 2006. NSW Department of Education and Training: Sydney, NSW, Australia.
- 21 Australian Association of Special Education. (2004) Submission to the Public Inquiry in the Disability Discrimination Act. Australian Association of Special Education: Adelaide, SA< Australia. Accessed online 12/05 from: <http://www.pc.gov.au/inquiry/dda/subs/sub186.pdf>
- 22 Vinson, T.,. (2003). Public Education Inquiry. NSW Department of Education and Training: Sydney, NSW, Australia. Accessed online 07/05 from: http://www.pub-ed-inquiry.org/reports/final_reports/
- 23 NSW Department of Education and Training> ((2003). Knowing makes the difference: learnings from the NSW Priority Action Schools Program> NSW Department of Education and training: Sydney, NSW, Australia. Accessed online 10.04 from: http://www.det.nsw.edu.au/media/download/review/pasp/pasp_cho1.pdf
- 24 Communication Project Group. (2004). Submission to the Productivity Commission Review of the Disability Discrimination Act 1992.. Communication Project Group: Torrens Park, SA, Australia. Accessed online 10/04 from: <http://www.pc.gov.au/inquiry/dda/subsisubdf276.pdf>.
- 25 Community Relations Commission for a Multicultural NSW. (2004). CRC Annual Report 2003-2004. Community Relations Commission, For a multicultural NSW : Sydney, MSW, Australia. Accessed online 01/05 from: http://www.crc.nsw.gov.au/publications/annrep0304/part1_intro_corp_gov
- 26 Multicultural Disability Advocacy Association of NSW (2000b).Less Talk, More Action: Advancing Cultural Diversity and Disability in 21st Century NSW. Multicultural Disability Advocacy Association of NSW Inc.: Parramatta, NSW, Australia. 33
- 27 Department of Education and Training. (2000). Students in regular classrooms: Funding support 2000. NSW Department of Education and Training: Sydney, NSW, Australia.
- 28 Productivity Commission. (2004). Review of the Disability Discrimination Act 1992: Productivity Commission Inquiry Report. Volume 2: Appendices. Australian Government Productivity Commission Canberra, ACT, Australia.

29 NSW Department of Education and Training. (2004). Annual Report 2003: Vol 2 Financial Statement and Appendices. NSW Department of Education and Training. Sydney, NSW, Australia. Accessed online 01/05 from:

30 NSW Commission for Children and Young People. (2003). Kids Stats: School and work. NSW commission for Children and Young People. Sydney, NSW, Australia. Accessed online 01/05 from: <http://www.kidsstats.kids.nsw.gov.au/>

31 NSW Department of Education and Training. (2004). Annual Report: Statistical compendium table 1.4 Full-time Enrolment in NSW Government Schools by Equity Groups 1999-2003). NSW Department of Education and Training: Sydney, NSW, Australia. Accessed online 01/05 from: https://www.det.nsw.edu.au/media/downloads/reports_stats/annual_reports/year03/ar03_v1_stats.pdf

32 NSW Department of Education and Training. (2004). Ethnic Affairs Priority Statement Report 2003. NSW Department of Education and Training. Sydney, NSW, Australia. Accessed online 11/04 from: https://www.det.nsw.edu.au/reports_stats/annual_reports/report2003.htm

33 Department of Community Services. (2004). Australian Institute of Criminology Issues and Trends in Crime and Criminal Justice No. 147: Technical Report: Benefit Assessment in Child Welfare. August 2004. Department of Community Services: Canberra, ACT, Australia.

Appendix 1: Interviewee Profile

The names of all interviewees have been changed

Name	Ethnicity	Age	Gender	Disability	Type School	Location
Taban	Iranian	17	F	Intellectual Disability	Support class	City
Jafar	Iranian	15	M	Intellectual Disability	Support class	City
Habib	Iranian	9	M	Intellectual Disability	Support class	City
Dahab	Lebanese	6	F	Physical Disability	SSP	City
Gopal	Indian	17	M	Autism ID	SSP	City
Phuoc	Vietnamese	12	M	Autism ID	Integrated	City
Bay	Vietnamese	10	M	Language delay	Support class	City
Dong	Vietnamese	5	M	Hearing loss /Autism	Pre-school	City
Chuong	Vietnamese	7	M	Downs Syn	SSP	City

Hao	Vietnamese	10	M	Low Autism Language delay	Integrated	City
Bien	Vietnamese	5	M	Language delay	Early intervention at pre-school	City
Pedro	Philipino	6	M	ID	SSP	City
Khalil	Afghani	17	M	Cerb. Palsy	SSP	City
Mariam	Afghani	13	F	ID	SSP	City
Cha	Thai	8	M	Blind ID	SSP	City
Christine	Chinese	18	F	ID	PSO living group home	City
Robert	Croatian	12	M	Multi disabilities	SSP	City
Francesca	Argentinian	21	F	ID	Support class now TAFE	City
Alex	Yugoslavian	19	M	Spina Bifida ID Autism	SSP	Rural
Sylvia	Italian	14	F	ID	Integrated	City
George	Lebanese	11	M	ID	Support class	City

Paresh	Indian	21	M	Severe ID and Phy dis	PSO	Rural
Ayanna	Indian	11	F	Downs Syn	SSp	Rural
Louis	Italian	16	M	Id language delay	SSP	Rural
Maricar	Philippina	12	F	ID	Support class	City

Information December 2004

Appendix 2; Interview Survey

Survey on the experience of parents of students from a non-English speaking background with disability in the NSW school environment.

Interview with MDAA consumer/ family .

NO NAMES OF INDIVIDUALS OR ORGANISATIONS WILL BE INCLUDED IN ANY REPORTS.

Student's first name :

Age: Date of birth: Cultural background:

Male Female

Does the student/parent speak English?

Fluently

Needs assistance

Not at all

Attending school? Yes (No (

If no, why not?

Type of school environment?

(Department of Education and Training school (NSW Government school)?

(Catholic Education System

(Independent school

Name and location of school

What class/year student is enrolled in? (e.g. Year 2) _____

What type of school do you attend?

1 Ordinary class in a mainstream school.

2. Support Classes located in an ordinary school but with smaller number of student per class.

3. Special School (SSP)

Name and location

4. School not in the categories listed above

Did the client or their family/carer receive any help in selecting the school that best met their needs

Who provided this help and how did they help?

Once a school was chosen was there any problems getting into the school? (E.g. long waiting list, accessing transport to get to the school)

Has school attendance been interrupted for any long periods? Why?

Have they had to repeat any class?

Why?

Have they changed schools?

Why?

Briefly tell what happens at school each day. (E.g. maths and English classes, life skills classes, filled in the day with craft and sport ...)

What type and quantity of support does the student receive each day?

Has the student experienced any problems at school?

Outline the nature of these problems.

As a parent/carer do you experience difficulties when you are trying to solve problems? (School policies restrict access to teachers, you can't go into classrooms, lack of interpreters etc.)

As a parent does the school provide opportunities for you to discuss and make suggestions about your child's learning? How?

Are there other school related problems faced by families?

Does the school provide opportunities to discuss your child's progress? How and when?

Does the school provide opportunities to learn skills to help your child at home?
(E.g. show you how to read with your child)

When your child has problems at school that are not being resolved, where do you go for help?

Has this organisation or person been able to help?

Are there problems that remain unresolved? If so what are they?

As a parent what do you think your child will do when they finish school?

Questions specifically for students

What type of things do you do at school each day?

Are you happy at school?

What do you like most about school?

(Friends, learning, sport)

What don't you like about school?

What do you want to do when you finish school?